On the Future of IACR conferences and journals

Concrete proposal by Yevgeniy Dodis

Problems with current system*

- Resubmissions are treated "independently"
 - Hard work of current PC is "wasted" to future committees when paper rejected
 - Authors often do not address serious problems found, hoping new PC will not notice
 - No archival of reviews/discussion (useful even for accepted papers, or future submissions to journals)

*Disclaimer: system works (even well!) despite what goes above...

Problems with current system*

Journal culture is completely "messed up"

- No incentive to be reviewer (leads to poor reviews, long publication cycle, etc.)
- Non-uniform quality of papers (invited papers from TCC, CRYPTO, EC vs. "only good enough for JoC")
- **Poor quality control**: single "author-chosen" editor makes accept/reject decisions based on 2-3 reviews
- No incentive to submit in US (conference culture)
- Nobody reads JoC (e.g., eprint is good enough)

*Disclaimer: system works (even well!) despite what goes above...

None of these apply to current conferences!

- *"Fun" to be reviewer* (credit to PC members, external reviews read/appreciated by a large PC)
 —leads to decent-to-excellent review quality*
- Best papers are selected by a large group of people, applying the same uniform principles in the "open"
- Quick publication cycle & knowledge dissimilation
- Leads to prestige + immediate impact

*Except for recent editions of Eurocrypt

But...

Not expected to check correctness thoroughly unlike journals (supposedly ⁽ⁱ⁾)

• Full version not enforced

and sometimes never appears or gets checked!

•Leads to occasional serious mistakes and questions confidence in the system

New Proposed System

• A single portal for all IACR papers

- —Merges together IACR conferences, JoC, Eprint and newly created Journal of IACR (JoI).
- -Only one "paper directory" (multiple versions OK)
- -Modulo access control, all reviews/discussions stick
- —Keeps current submission process for conferences, with all the "positives" (no messing with a good thing!)
- —Adds a simple way to submit to JoI (either directly or as "by-product" of conference submission)

Initial Submission

- Can submit to Eprint, JoI and/or IACR Conference
- Eprint: accepted unrefereed (modulo syntax, like now)
- **Conference**: only before deadline + goes to conference PC
 - Same reviewing process as now, but reviews stick for *resubmissions*!
 - If also submitted to JoI, additionally decide "JoI accept/reject" (conference accepts are automatically JoI accepted, some rejects as well)
- Jol: treated as a conference (with all positives!), except correctness is expected to be checked more thoroughly
 - Has its own "PC" (called "editorial board", on rotating basis)
 - But only gets submissions which were:
 - submitted to JoI but *not* a conference
 - "Jol accepted" by a conference (then 3-4 conference PC members join the editorial board for this paper, and are expected to handle it till publication)

Resubmission

- Initial reviews (and prior paper versions) stick
- Must explain changes from last version on front page
- Options to be decided:
 - appendix detailing how prior comments were addressed?
 - limit number of resubmissions (esp. to conferences)?
 - "permanent reject" status (esp. for "junk papers")?
 - force Eprint version for all accepts (or even submissions)?

What about Journal of Cryptology?

Becomes invitation-only (no direct subs)

•Only gets few *best papers* from conferences or Jol

Becomes more prestigious, and *read with interest* !

•Keeps (or improves!!) its high ISI index as a result

Summary

- Keeps positives from conferences
 - Fun reviewing, quick turnaround, prestige, ...
- Makes easier to publish in (and review for!) journals
 - Treats them as conferences with higher correctness bar
 - Seamless/fast transition from conference to journal
- Keeps one "paper directory", sticky reviews, etc.
- Makes JoC relevant and higher quality